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Abstract

Stromal vascular fraction (SVF), containing large amount of stem cells and other regenerative cells, can be easily obtained from loose connective tissue that is associated with adipose tissue. Here we evaluated safety and clinical efficacy of freshly isolated autologous SVF cells in a case control study in patients with grade 2-4 degenerative osteoarthritis (OA). A total of 1128 patients underwent standard liposuction under local anesthesia and SVF cells were isolated and prepared for application into 1-4 large joints. A total of 1856 joints, mainly knee and hip joints, were treated with a single dose of SVF cells. 1114 patients were followed for 12.1-54.3 months (median 17.2 months) for safety and efficacy. Modified KOOS/HOOS Clinical Score was used to evaluate clinical effect and was based on pain, non-steroid analgesic usage, limping, extent of joint movement, and stiffness evaluation before and at 3, 6, and 12 months after the treatment. No serious side effects, systemic infection or cancer was associated with SVF cell therapy. Most patients gradually improved 3-12 months after the treatment. At least 75% Score improvement was noticed in 63% of patients and at least 50% Score improvement was documented in 91% of patients 12 months after SVF cell therapy. Obesity and higher grade of OA were associated with slower healing. In conclusion, here we report a novel and promising treatment approach for patients with degenerative OA that is safe, cost-effective, and relying only on autologous cells.
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Introduction

Degenerative osteoarthritis (OA) of large joints, especially hip and knee, represents “wear and tear” disease affecting large population of adults. Symptomatic OA is present in 13.9% of adults 25 years and older and in 33.6% of adults 65 years and older, but it is estimated that radiographic OA is much more frequent (18). OA is associated with decreased quality of life including pain, stiffness, decreasing range of motion and joint deformity. Knee and hip OA is one of the leading causes of decreased quality of life and work limitations in elderly.

Although early stages of OA can be alleviated by physical therapy, weight loss, non-steroid analgesic drugs, and chondroprotectives, the advanced disease relies on total joint replacement. Total joint arthroplasty (TJA) is the mainstay of treatment for end-stage arthritis of the hip or knee. Unfortunately, TJA is relatively frequently associated with serious and life-threatening complications including increased risk of infection, thromboembolism, myocardial infarction, stroke and increased risk of death at 30 and 90 days after surgery (17,23,26,28).

Recently, it was shown that mesenchymal stromal/stem cells (MSCs) hold a great promise for their healing potential in regenerative medicine (12). Preclinical animal studies that utilize MSCs demonstrated safety and efficacy in treatment of OA and orthopedic conditions (14,27). In humans, the largest collection of culture-expanded bone marrow-derived MSCs used for treatment of 339 patients with OA was recently documented and more than 75% improvement was reported in 41.4% and more than 50% improvement was reported in 63.2% of patients (6). No severe side effects and no neoplastic complications were detected at any stem cell re-implantation site in a mean follow-up 435 days (6).
MSCs can be obtained from bone marrow as well as from adipose tissue. Although bone marrow
MSCs and adipose tissue-derived MSCs share many biological features, there are also some
differences. Adipose tissue-derived MSCs are more genetically stable in a long term culture,
display a lower senescence ratio and higher proliferative capacity (27). Bone marrow MSCs
constitute only about 0.001%-0.01% of all nucleated cells in bone marrow, whereas the amount of
adipose tissue-derived MSCs is approximately 1000-fold greater when isolated from equivalent
volume of tissue (20,27,31). Adipose tissue can be easily obtained by standard liposuction under
local anesthesia and isolated stromal vascular fraction (SVF) cells contain 1-4% MSCs as well as
other cell types involved in tissue regeneration such as vascular endothelial cells, pericytes,
fibroblasts, macrophages and regulatory T lymphocytes. SVF cells can be clinically used as freshly
isolated from the lipoaspirate without further in vitro expansion or manipulation. These various
SVF cell components may act synergistically with MSCs and therefore may be superior to MSCs
alone (31). It may be also presumed that freshly isolated cells would be safer and more
efficacious compared with the cells expanded by culture, as ex vivo manipulations may lead to
genetic and epigenetic alterations that may affect the functional and biological properties of the
cells (2).

Autologous adipose-derived SVF cell therapy has been used since 2003 in dogs. In a randomized
double-blinded multicenter controlled trial, dogs with large joint OA treated with SVF cells had
significantly improved scores for pain, lameness and range of motion compared with control dogs
(3). At least 80,000 SVF cells per kilogram of animal body weight were used. Similar effects were
documented for OA, cartilage, tendon and ligament injuries treated with autologous SVF cells in
other species as well (3,11,21).
Based on previously published results from animal and human studies, we hypothesize that non-manipulated SVF cells freshly isolated from adipose tissue and administered to the close proximity or into the arthritic joint can demonstrate healing potential in patients with degenerative OA. Here we present data from a multicenter, case control study that demonstrate how practicing medicine with patient’s own regenerative cells freshly isolated from a stromal vascular fraction surrounding small blood vessels of the adipose tissue can significantly improve outcome of degenerative OA leading to a better quality of life.

Materials and Methods

Patients

Multicenter case control study of International Consortium for Cell Therapy and Immunotherapy (ICCTI) was performed in the United States of America, the Czech Republic, Slovakia, and Lithuania after approval by the local Ethics Committees and Investigational Review Board of American Naturopathic Research Institute/Naturopathic Oncology Research Institute. Informed consent for patients was in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Certified orthopedic surgeons and/or traumatology surgeons recruited patients with OA in seven clinical centers from 2010 to 2013. Inclusion criteria consisted of: 1) 18 years of age and older; 2) chronic or degenerative joint OA grade 2-4 (Kellgren-Lawrence) of 1-4 large joints (including hip, knee, shoulder, ankle) or up to 8 other joints (including elbow, wrist, hand, foot) causing significant functional disability verified by clinical examination and X-ray and/or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI); 3) failure of conservative management; 4) signed informed consent form. Exclusion criteria consisted of: 1) active inflammatory disease; 2) severe cardiac, pulmonary or other systemic disease; 3) history of active
neoplasm and its treatment with immunosuppressive agents (including chemotherapy, radiotherapy, steroids or other immunosuppressive drugs) within the past 12 months; 4) steroids within the past 4 weeks; 5) health condition (including known allergy to local anesthetic drug) that does not allow to perform liposuction in local anesthesia; 6) pregnancy or lactation; 7) TJA.

Patients who were referred as candidates for TJA were allowed to participate in SVF cell therapy and this information was recorded by referring physician. All patients underwent local anesthesia of subcutaneous fat in an extent that enables collection of 20-200ml of adipose tissue by a standard tumescent liposuction.

**Tissue and SVF Cell Processing**

Lipoaspirate was processed with Cellthera Kit I (in 2010-2012) or Cellthera Kit II (in 2013), Cellthera, Ltd., Brno, Czech Republic (patent pending). At least 20ml of adipose tissue per each large joint (or 2 medium joints - elbow, wrist; or 5-8 small joints - hand, foot) treated was processed according to manufacturer’s instructions with Cellthera Kit I containing GMP-grade collagenase mix and at least 50ml of adipose tissue per each large joint (or 2 medium joints - elbow, wrist; or 5-8 small joints - hand, foot) treated was processed with Cellthera Kit II that does not contain collagenase. Briefly, lipoaspirate was thoroughly washed to remove most red blood cells and tissue debris, incubated at 37°C for 20-30 minutes while shaking and SVF cells were then collected by centrifugation, properly washed and filtered through a sterile 100µm filter (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA). All nucleated SVF cells were counted on Burker chamber (Glaswarenfabrik Karl Hecht GmbH & Co KG, Sondheim/Rhön, Germany) after trypan blue (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA) staining. To block any residual collagenase activity, SVF cells isolated by Kit I were resuspended in 1-5ml autologous plasma that was obtained from anticoagulated blood
after centrifugation. SVF cells isolated by Kit II were resuspended in 1-5ml normal saline. All isolated SVF cells were used for treatment.

In vitro SVF cells preclinical testing

Freshly isolated SVF cells as well as third passage adipose tissue-derived stromal cells (ASCs) were examined for their immunophenotype. In order to obtain the third passage of ASC, isolated SVF cells were seeded at a density $20 \times 10^3$ cells / cm$^2$ in 24-well plastic plate (Costar, USA), and then cultured in DMEM/F12 (Sigma-Aldrich) containing 2% penicillin and 5% platelet lysate at 37°C with 5% CO$_2$. After 24 hours of culture, non-adherent cells were removed and fresh complete medium was added to adherent cells - ASCs. The medium was changed twice per week. When 80% confluence was reached, the cells were counted and subcultured using 0.25% trypsin (Sigma-Aldrich).

The immunophenotype of SVF freshly isolated cells as well as third passage ASCs was characterized by BD FACS Canto II flow cytometer (BD Biosciences). Briefly, cells were washed twice in Dulbeco’s PBS containing 1% bovine serum albumin (Sigma-Aldrich) and stained for 30 minutes at 4°C with anti-CD90 - FITC, anti-CD73 - PE, anti-CD105 - APC, anti-CD19 - APC-Cy7, anti-CD45- PECy7 and anti-CD34 - PerCP-Cy5 (BD Biosciences). Cells were then washed with PBS and characterized by flow cytometry. Doubling time (DT) was measured as followed: $DT = (\log_2 \times \text{culture time}) : (\log N - \log N_0)$ where $N$ is cell count after the third passage and $N_0$ is cell count of adherent cells after removal of non-adherent cells at the beginning of cell culture.

Treatments
SVF cells were administered in 1-5ml aliquot per joint treated according to joint size. Up to 4 large joints or up to 8 other joints were treated. Single injection of SVF cells was administered intraarticularly or periarticularly to the synovial stromal tissue in the close proximity of such joint. If needed, ultrasound or C-arm X-ray navigation of the needle was employed.

**Evaluations**

Clinical status of all patients was closely monitored by the attending physician who indicated patients for cell therapy at least 1 week before, at the time of SVF treatment, 1 week, 1, 3, 6, and 12 months after the SVF treatment. SVF cell therapy was recorded and evaluated by the same physician. Clinical evaluation incorporated medical history, physical examination including evaluation of joint pain, number of analgesic drugs taken, joint stiffness and extent of joint movement, lameness status on a semiquantitative scale, recommendation for TJA, as well as any side effects possibly associated with SVF cell therapy. Joint X-ray and/or MRI follow-up of the involved joint was performed after at least 6 months from SVF cell therapy.

All patients and their physicians were instructed to fill in the modified Knee/Hip Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS/HOOS; [www.koos.nu](http://www.koos.nu)) questionnaire that evaluated semiquantitatively the following measures:

A) Pain – patient evaluation (0 = no pain; 1 = minor not frequent pain; 2 = minor frequent pain; 3 = moderate pain; 4 = severe pain; 5 = unbearable pain requiring analgesics);

B) Painkillers per week – physician evaluation (0 = no painkillers; 1 = 1-7 pills/topical analgesic cream (TAC); 2 = 8-14 pills/TAC; 3 = 15-21 pills/TAC; 4 = 22-28 pills/TAC; 5 = 29 or more pills/TAC);
C) Limping at walk – physician evaluation (0 = no limping; 1 = less frequent minor limping; 2 = frequent minor limping; 3 = moderate limping; 4 = severe limping; 5 = impossible to walk);

D) Extent of joint movement– physician evaluation (0 = no limitation; 1 = limitation up to 20%; 2 = limitation 21-40%; 3 = limitation 41-60%; 4 = limitation 61-80%; 5 = limitation more than 80%, impossible to move);

E) Joint stiffness – patient evaluation (0 = no stiffness; 1 = minor; 2 = moderate; 3 = serious; 4 = severe; 5 = impossible to walk).

OA Score was then constructed as the mean value of variables A) – E) for each patient.

**Statistical Evaluation**

The nonparametric statistical analysis of changes in Scores over time (before, 3months, 6months and 12 months) in each treatment group was tested by one-way repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA), the Friedman test was used. The Kruskal–Wallis test (nonparametric one-way ANOVA) was used for comparing Score in independent treatment group (according to OA grade, and body mass index (BMI) category) and post hoc comparisons were made. Wilcoxon rank test was used for comparisons of independent pairs of groups and the Bonferroni correction was used for the test modification to multiple comparisons. Correlation analysis (Spearman correlation coefficient and also modified Spearman correlation coefficient for categorized data) was used for description of statistical association between studied variables (Score and BMI, Score and OA grade, etc.). The significance level 0.05 was used throughout. The 50% and 75% effect of Score improvement in time was calculated as a percentage of patients where the difference between
Score before and Score in a particular time was greater than half and ¾ of Score before, respectively. The data were analyzed using statistical software STATISTICA v.10 StatSoft, Inc.

Results

Patient Characteristics

A total of 1856 joints of 1128 unique patients were treated with single injection of SVF cells isolated from autologous adipose tissue. From this large group 14 patients (1.2%) were lost to follow-up and 1114 (98.8%) patients were evaluated at their follow-up visits. Median follow-up time from the procedure was 17.2 months (range 12.1-54.3 months). The median age was 62 years (range 19-94 years), 596 (52.8%) patients were males and 532 (47.2%) were females, all patients were Caucasians and all underwent single procedure of SVF cell administration to 1-8 joints. There were 557 (49.4%) patients with one joint treated, 481 (42.6%) patients with two joints treated, 51 (4.5%) patients with three joints treated, and 39 (3.5%) patients with four to eight joints treated. Patients underwent 1132 (61.0%) knee procedures, 625 (33.7%) hip procedures, and 99 (5.3%) other joint (ankle, foot, shoulder, hand, wrist or elbow) procedures, see Fig. 1. Based on clinical and X-ray examination, 226 (20.0%) patients were diagnosed with grade 2, 788 (69.9%) with grade 3, and 114 (10.1%) with grade 4 of degenerative OA (highest grade of OA in each patient is reported). There was 1 (0.1%) underweight patient (BMI bellow 18), 169 (15.0%) patients with normal weight (BMI 18-24.9), 639 (56.6%) overweight patients (BMI 25-29.9), and 319 (28.3%) obese patients (BMI 30 or over), see Fig. 1. Among all patients treated, there was always at least one large joint (hip or knee) treated and 503 patients (45.2%) of 1114 patients followed-up were candidates for TJA.

SVF Cell Characteristics
Initially, we compared isolation of SVF cells from autologous adipose tissue using Cellthera Kit I and Kit II. In the cohort of 12 patient samples of isolated SVF cells (6 isolated with Kit I and 6 isolated with Kit II) we were able to demonstrate typical ASC characteristics including 0.9-4.7% of plastic adherent cells and growing in vitro up to passage 6 and expressing CD73, CD90, CD105, losing expression of CD34 and negative for CD45 (data not shown). No significant difference in doubling time was noticed between cells isolated with Kit I and Kit II. In this preliminary optimization cohort, the yield of isolated viable SVF cells per ml of adipose tissue was 3.4-fold higher when using Kit I compared to Kit II.

Thus, for the clinical protocol of individualized cell therapy with autologous SVF cells we decided to use 20-30ml of adipose tissue per each large joint treated when Kit I was used, and 50-90ml of adipose tissue per each large joint treated when Kit II was used. Kit I was used in 478 patients and led to nucleated SVF mean cell yield of 1.63 (±0.41) x 10^6/ml of adipose tissue and viability of 87.4% (± 6.7%). Kit II was used in 650 patients and led to nucleated SVF mean cell yield of 0.39 (±0.12) x 10^6/ml of adipose tissue and viability of 95.8% (± 3.9%). Absolute number of viable SVF cells obtained from adipose tissue isolated with Kit I reached 28.4 (± 11.7) x 10^6 while absolute number of viable SVF cells obtained from adipose tissue isolated with Kit II reached 22.5 (± 8.1) x 10^6. These absolute numbers of viable SVF cells were not significantly different (p=0.19). No statistically significant differences in age, sex, BMI and degree of OA were noticed between patients treated with cells isolated with Kit I or Kit II.

**SVF Cell Therapy and Patient Follow-up**

All patients underwent treatment with SVF cells as scheduled and no complications related to adipose tissue processing and SVF cells preparation were noticed. There were no serious side
effects associated with SVF cell therapy. Other side effects related to the procedure consisted of local pain and swelling at the site of injection, fever, reactive synovitis, headache, deep venous thrombosis, see Table 1. Pain and swelling at the site of injection were observed in patients injected with higher cell number but without significant difference between those treated with Kit I or Kit II isolated cells. Both cases of deep venous thrombosis occurred in women with unsatisfactory hydration and refusal to walk while remaining at sitting position for several hours after the procedure. There was one case of infectious synovitis reported that is unlikely to be SVF cell therapy-related but it is not possible to exclude it. Six days after SVF cell therapy a woman was complaining of localized pain and swelling at the site of SVF cell application and was admitted to another hospital where a puncture of right knee was performed and revealed to be sterile. Four days later, synovectomy of the right knee was performed and \textit{S. epidermidis} was cultured.

Approximately 95% of joints treated were knees and/or hips (Fig. 1). Clinical effect of SVF cell therapy was evaluated with modified KOOS/HOOS Score and revealed at least 50% improvement after treatment in 80.6% of patients at 3 months. The Score further improved in time to 12 months of the follow-up to 91.0% as documented in Fig. 2. When 75% Score improvement was evaluated, similar trend for improvement was noticed from 3 months to 12 months post-treatment in 39.7% to 63.0% of patients. Only up to 0.9% of patients were non-responders.

Remaining patients improved for less than 50%. There was a difference in clinical responses among patients treated with SVF cells isolated with Kit I and Kit II by multiple comparison analysis, see Fig. 2. This difference was significant at 3 months after SVF cell therapy (p = 0.0001), but not before, at 6 and 12 months after SVF cell therapy (p = 0.2430; p = 0.0512; p = 0.4593, respectively).
Patient’s responses were also monitored by X-ray and MRI. Typically subtle but significant widening of joint spaces was observed on X-ray 6 - 12 months after SVF cell therapy. MRI studies revealed slight chondral thickening or stable cartilage thickness 6 - 12 months after SVF cell therapy. Smoothing of surface irregularities and defects, regression of reactive subchondral bone edema, sealing of chondral fissures, healing of subchondral cortical lesions or integration of chondral flaps were frequently seen after the treatment. To illustrate the X-ray and MRI of the joint treated with SVF cells of a typical good-responders, see Fig. 3.

Score evaluation before, at 3, 6 and 12 months after the SVF cell therapy was significantly increased with increasing age (p<0.0001; p < 0.0001; p < 0.0001, respectively). Higher OA grade was associated with significantly increased OA Score before, at 3 and 6 months (p = 0.0156; p = 0.0318; p = 0.0030, respectively), but not at 12 months (p = 0.5315) after SVF therapy. Women had higher Score than men before and at 3 months after SVF cell therapy (p = 0.0089; p = 0.0203), but not at 6 and 12 months after the procedure (p = 0.0771; p = 0.5799, respectively). Patients with higher BMI had significantly higher OA Score at 3 months after the procedure (p = 0.0281), but not before and at 6 and 12 months after SVF therapy (p = 0.3002; p = 0.1004; p = 0.4022, respectively). From 503 TJA candidates before the SVF cell therapy, only 4 (0.80 %) patients required total hip replacement during the follow-up period. These findings demonstrate that patients with lower degree of OA and non-obese patients recover from OA faster, typically within 3-6 months after SVF cell therapy. In patients with higher degree of OA and in obese patients the regeneration of arthritic joint may take longer, but at 12 months they experience the same degree of clinical improvement as patients with lower degree of OA and non-obese patients, respectively.
Discussion

Adipose-derived cells have potential applications to a wide range of clinical disorders including myocardial infarction, stroke, Crohn’s disease, multiple sclerosis, rheumatoid arthritis, limb ischemia, breast augmentation and reconstruction, decubiti ulcers, postirradiation fibrosis, and craniofacial reconstruction (8,12,13). The greatest number of patients reported have been for breast reconstruction, myocardial infarction, and fistula repair in Crohn’s disease as previously reviewed (10,27).

There is also a growing body of research regarding stem cells for the treatment of degenerative OA. Recently, the largest group of patients with OA treated with bone marrow-derived cultured MSCs was reported by Centeno et al. and involved 339 patients. It demonstrated safety and clinical efficacy in most patients treated. In a subgroup of 133 patients with knee OA 50% score improvement was noticed in 63.2% cases at an average reporting time of 11.3 months from the first cell application (6). Vangsness et al. reported results of a randomized, double-blind, controlled study in 55 patients with knee OA and partial medial meniscectomy treated with allogeneic bone marrow-derived MSCs. Reduction of pain as well as meniscal volume increase was noticed in MSC-treated patients but not in placebo control group (29).

Recently, there are several reports regarding adipose-derived cell therapy of degenerative OA, but all of them with relatively low number of patients (4,9,15,16,22). The use of adipose tissue have many advantages in comparison to bone marrow: it can be easily obtained by standard liposuction under local anesthesia; adipose stem cells are plentiful and adipose tissue contains approximately 500-2500 times higher amount of mesenchymal stem cells compared to the same volume of bone marrow (1,8,20,27). While MSCs are dramatically decreasing with age in bone marrow (5), their
pool in adipose tissue is quite stable during life (1,5). In addition, the adipose tissue contains
unique populations of cells that suppress the inflammatory responses, and thus further contribute
to regeneration and create optimal environment for adaptation of stem cells that support
regeneration and repair of damaged cells and tissues (27,30). Adipose-derived stem and stromal
cells do not require in vitro cultivation and are ready for use immediately after isolation from the
adipose tissue. Recently, in an animal model of guinea pigs with spontaneous OA, Sato et al.
demonstrated migration, differentiation, proliferation, and persistence of MSCs into the damaged
cartilage and adjacent synovial tissue. There was a strong immunostaining for type II collagen
around both residual chondrocytes and transplanted MSCs in the OA cartilage demonstrating
direct contribution of MSCs to hyaline cartilage healing and regeneration (25).

These data are in accordance with our clinical observation in a large cohort of adult patients with
grade 2-4 degenerative OA. In our SVF cell therapy case control study, 1856 joints were treated in
1128 patients and we were able to demonstrate safety with no serious side effects reported in 1-
4.5 years of follow-up and clinical improvement in a vast majority of patients. Some patients
experienced local pain and swelling at the injection site, but those symptoms were lasting shortly
and were well controlled with common analgesics. Not surprisingly, most patients were treated
for knee and/or hip OA and our treatment strategy allowed multiple joint treatments during one
surgical procedure. Based on previous studies demonstrating migratory capability of MSCs
(6,14,25,27) we allowed intraarticular or periarticular (synovial stroma or loose connective tissue
immediately adjacent to the joint cartilage) application of SVF cells. We hypothesized that stem
cells as well as other regenerative stromal cells may contribute to the cartilage healing process via
two mechanisms: 1) paracrine effect and 2) cell differentiation and proliferation. Our clinical
observations are in agreement with this hypothesis and with the animal study (25), which brought
direct evidence for such mechanism of cartilage regeneration using cell labeling techniques that
clearly demonstrated long-term persistence of transplanted stem cells in the cartilage and
adjacent synovial tissue.

At this point, we should also clarify the terminology regarding the source of SVF cells. In the vast
majority of scientific publications only the term adipose tissue is used, but the true source of SVF
cells is not the adipose part but only the stromal (ie. loose connective tissue) part of the fat
obtained typically by liposuction. Histologically, the fat lobules are surrounded by a loose
connective tissue and the SVF cells reside in the loose connective tissue that also home capillaries
and small vessels. Stroma is a broadly used term for the loose connective tissue that contains
mesenchymal stem cells and other cells like fibroblasts, macrophages, adipocytes, mast cells and
leukocytes. Synovia of articulated joints is also intimately associated with the loose connective
tissue which is homologous to the loose connective tissue of the adipose tissue (24). Thus, in our
clinical study we were aiming to enrich the population of stem and other regenerative cells in a
close proximity to damaged cartilage. In a human study we are limited in direct cell imaging in
comparison to animal studies (25). On the other hand, we can demonstrate indirectly the healing
potential of SVF cell therapy in OA using clinical examinations and symptom scoring as well as
objective visualization of damaged joints by MRI and X-ray imaging.

Despite several techniques for SVF cells isolation exist (8,10,20), maximal cell yields are obtained
after collagenase digestion of adipose tissue (20). Here we demonstrate that collagenase digestion
may lead to better short term results in a clinical outcome at 3 months, but later on, there are
similar results with comparable numbers of viable SVF cells that can be obtained without
collagenase digestion when larger amounts of adipose tissue are processed. Almost 90% of
patients were diagnosed with grade 2-3 OA and almost 85% patients were overweight or obese.

We were able to demonstrate that cartilage regeneration is slower during the first 3 to 6 months in patients with higher BMI and in patients with higher OA grade. But later on, at 6 and 12 months after SVF cell therapy, there is no difference in clinical outcome based on BMI and OA grade status. Not surprisingly, higher age is associated with higher Score before and stays higher throughout the follow-up after cell therapy in comparison to younger patients. Yet, dramatic Score decrease was significant after SVF cell therapy at any age. Even patients in their seventies, eighties or even nineties, who are typically not qualified for TJA because of their age and a general health status, can undergo SVF cell therapy without any serious side effects. Also, most patients benefited from SVF cell therapy despite the fact that more than 45% of them were TJA candidates.

During the median follow-up 17.2 months there were only 4 patients who required TJA. All of them underwent hip joint replacement and all of them had grade 4 OA of that hip joint. None of patients with other than hip OA required TJA. We cannot draw strong conclusions out of this finding, but we can suggest to undergo the SVF cell therapy in earlier stages, especially in case of hip OA, when clinical symptoms of OA are present and leading to decreased quality of life. In addition, our data clearly demonstrate a durable effect of single injection of adipose-derived SVF cells in comparison to bone marrow-derived cultured MSCs therapy that had to be administered repeatedly in most patients treated (6). In this study, there were 67.8% of patients with knee OA candidates for total knee arthroplasty and 6.9% reported that they opted for knee replacement in a median follow-up of 435 days (6).

Despite safety and efficacy of SVF cell therapy, there are some limitations in our case control study. There is no guarantee that such cell therapy can lead to a definite cure of degenerative OA. The patients are further monitored and longer follow-up data will help to answer question about
durability and long-term safety of SVF cell therapy. Another limitation of our study is no randomization and no placebo control. There were two reasons for designing that case control study: 1) ethical aspect and 2) economical aspect. We believe it would be rather unethical to ask placebo group of patients to undergo liposuction and placebo administration to the joint with OA. Since this study was designed as autologous cell therapy, there is strong previously documented clinical evidence of safety of autologous non-manipulated or minimally manipulated cell therapies (19). In the first decade of the 21st century, more than 17,000 scientific articles involving 2,724 cell therapy clinical trials were published (7). These results include 323,000 patients treated with more than 675,000 cell therapy units. The treatments were very safe and often very effective in the treatment of various diseases with the potential to significantly improve health worldwide (7,19,27). Second economical aspect of our study preparation was based on estimation of extreme costs associated with a new drug development. The costs of phase I to phase III clinical trials leading to the new drug registration are estimated to be 300 million to 1 billion USD. Furthermore, once such budget is raised, new drug is tested in a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trial and finally registered based on safety and efficacy profile. The health care providers worldwide are exposed to extreme costs associated with eligible patient treatments after such registration. On the other hand, a case control study, if well designed and if strong evidence for minimal risks based on previous studies exists, can lead to a cost-effective, safe, ethical and objective evaluation of a novel treatment. One of such examples can be a case control study using autologous non-manipulated or minimally manipulated cells.
Conclusion

Autologous stromal vascular fraction cell therapy of degenerative osteoarthritis is safe and clinically effective strategy leading to improved quality of life. This conclusion is based on the present case control study of 1128 adult patients.
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Table 1: Side effects observed in patients treated with SVF cell therapy

A total number of 1114 patients were treated and followed-up for side effects related to SVF cell therapy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serious side effects</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>[%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Myocardial infarction</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thromboembolism</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systemic infection</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other serious side effects</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other side effects</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>[%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local pain &lt; 24 hours</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>4.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local pain &gt; 24 hours</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>3.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local swelling &lt; 72 hours</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>5.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local swelling &gt; 72 hours</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fever &gt; 38°C &lt; 24 hours</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fever &gt; 38°C &gt; 24 hours</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactive synovitis</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Incidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep venous thrombosis</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infectious synovitis*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*One patient experienced infectious synovitis that was unlikely related to SVF therapy, but it is impossible to completely exclude it. For details, see text.
Figure legends

Figure 1. Characteristics of patients and joints treated by SVF cell therapy.

(A): 1856 joints in 1128 patients were treated. The columns represent the numbers of knee, hip, ankle/foot, shoulder and hand/elbow joints treated. (B): The number of patients diagnosed with grade 2, 3 and 4 (according to Kellgren-Lawrence classification) of osteoarthritis is shown. (C): The body mass index (BMI) of patients undergoing SVF cell therapy.

Figure 2. Evaluation of clinical outcome based on Modified KOOS/HOOS Score.

A calculation of the Score is based on 5 parameters: pain, number of painkillers per week, limping at walk, extent of joint movement and stiffness. (A): Significant improvement in Score (*) was observed 3, 6 and 12 months after SVF cell therapy compared to the status before SVF cell therapy (p < 0.0001). Means ± SD [blue box], and ± 1.96 SD [black bars] are shown. (B): Percentage of patients with at least 50% Score improvement. (C): Percentage of patients with at least 75% Score improvement. (D): Percentage of non-responders. (E): Comparison of Scores in patients treated with SVF cells isolated with Kit I or Kit II. Significant difference was noticed between Kits at 3 months after SVF cell therapy (*). Means - SD are shown for Kit I [full line] and means + SD are shown for Kit II [interrupted line].

Figure 3. X-ray and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) results of the joints before and after SVF cell therapy.

(A): X-ray results of 56 year old man with right knee grade 3 osteoarthritis and kissing bone phenomena in a medial compartment. X-ray 12 months after SVF cell therapy shows greater cartilage volume [arrows]. X-ray was performed by direct radiography system Sedecal CXDI 55G.
(Spain) with read-out detector Canon CXDI (Japan). (B): MRI results of 45 year old man with grade 2 osteoarthritis and lateral femoral condyle osteochondronecrosis with a diameter of 7 mm [in a red circle]. Healing of the defect and cartilage volume increase 6 months after SVF cell therapy is shown. MRI was performed by 1,5 T MRI Toshiba Excelart Vantage XGV Atlas (Japan).
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